# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

3255 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way Palm Springs, CA 92262 www.riverside.courts.ca.gov



2017 SEP 27 PM 12: 59

# NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT ASSIGNMENT FOR ALL PURPOSES

### DORAN VS CITY OF PALM DESERT

## CASE NO. PSC1705265

This case is assigned to the Honorable Judge James T Latting in Department PS1 for all purposes.

The Case Management Conference is scheduled for 03/26/18 at 8:30 in Department

The plaintiff/cross-complainant shall serve а CODY of this notice defendants/cross-defendants who are named or added to the complaint and file proof of service.

Any disqualification pursuant to CCP Section 170.6 shall be filed in accordance with that section. The court follows California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1308(a) (1) for tentative rulings (see Riverside Superior Court Local Rule 3316). Tentative Rulings for each law and motion matter are posted on the Internet by 3:00 pm on the court day immediately before the hearing at <a href="http://www.riverside.courts.ca.gov/tentativerulings.shtml">http://www.riverside.courts.ca.gov/tentativerulings.shtml</a>. If you do not have internet access, you may obtain the tentative ruling by telephone at (760)904-5722...

To request oral argument, not later than 4:30 pm on the court day before the hearing you must (1) notify the judicial secretary at (760)904-5722 and (2) inform all other parties. If no request for oral argument is made by 4:30 pm, the tentative ruling will become the final ruling on the matter effective the date of the hearing.

Requests for accommodations can be made by submitting Judicial Council form MC-410 no fewer than five court days before the hearing. See California Rules of Court, rule

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am currently employed by the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, and that I am not a party to this action or proceeding. In my capacity, I am familiar with the practices and procedures used in connection with the mailing of correspondence. Such correspondence is deposited in the outgoing mail of the Superior Outgoing mail is delivered to and mailed by the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, the same day in the ordinary course of business. I certify that I served a copy of the foregoing NOTICE on this date, by depositing said copy as stated above.

Court Executive Officer/Clerk

Date: 09/26/17 K. Boldis

KRISZTIAN I BOLDIS, Deputy Clerk

cdacmo

# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

RECEIVED CITY CLERK'S OFFICE PALM DESERT, CA

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

**SUM-100** 

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

2017 SEP 27 PM 12: 59

FILED COURT OF CALIFORNIA

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

SEP **26** 2017

K. Boldis

Kenneth Doran

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call wilt not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California
3255 E. Tahquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92262

PSC1705265

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Kenneth Doran 43-300 Elkhorn Tr. Apt.# G-18 Palm Desert, CA 92211 760-408-2241

DATE: (Fecha)

SEP 26 2017

Clerk, by (Secretario)

, Deputy \_\_\_ *(Adjunto)* 

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-040).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

SEAL)  COURT OF  CONTENTS  CONTENTS
OF RIVE

NOTICE	TO THE PERSON SERVED:	You are s	served
1.	as an individual defendant.		

as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
 City of Palm Desert

on behalf of (specify):

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
other (specify):	

4. v by personal delivery on (date): 9/25/2017

Page 1 of 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF F	RIVERSIDE
☐ BLYTHE 265 N. Broadway, Blythe, CA 92225 ☑ PALM SPRINGS 3255 E. Ta ☐ HEMET 880 N. State St., Hemet, CA 92543 ☐ RIVERSIDE 4050 Main St., F	d., Suite 1226, Murrieta, CA 92563 hquitz Canyon Way, Palm Springs, CA 92262 Riverside, CA 92501 Center Dr., #100, Ternecula, CA 92591
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Number and Address) Kenneth Doran 43-300 Elkhorn Tr. Apt. # G-18 Palm Desert, CA 92211  TELEPHONE NO: 760-408-2241  FAX NO. (Optional):	FOR COURT USE ONLY  SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optionel):  ATTORNEY FOR (Name):  PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Kenneth Doran	SEP <b>26</b> 2017 <b>K. Boldis</b>
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: City of Palm Desert	CASE NUMBER: PSC 17 0 5 2 6 5
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL	
The undersigned certifies that this matter should be tried or heard in the cospecified below:  The action arose in the zip code of:  The action concerns real property located in the zip code of:	ourt identified above for the reasons
☑ The Defendant resides in the zip code of: 92211	
For more information on where actions should be filed in the Riverside Couto Local Rule 1.0015 at www.riverside.courts.ca.gov.	unty Superior Courts, please refer
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of true and correct.	California that the foregoing is
Date 9/22/2017	
Kenneth Doran  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY MAKING DECLARATION)	(SIGNATURE)

RECEIVED CITY CLERK'S OFFICE PALM DESERT. CA

2017 SEP 27 PM 12: 59



SEP 26 2017

K. Boldis

Kenneth Doran 43-300 Elkhorn Trail Apt. #G-18 Palm Desert, CA 92211 (760) 408-2241

Plaintiff in Pro Per

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9 Kenneth Doran..

City of Palm Desert,

11

10

12

VS.

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27

28

Case No.: PSC 1705265

Complaint for:

1) Professional Negligence

Plaintiff Kenneth Doran (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), makes the following allegations against
Defendants City of Palm Desert (hereinafter "Defendants").

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has jurisdiction over all causes of action asserted herein:

Plaintiff.

Defendant,

- A. Pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, Section 10, because this is a case not given by statute to other trail courts.
- B. The Court has jurisdiction over all Defendants because all Defendants are California residents.
- C. Venue is proper because the cause of action stated herein occurred in this judicial district.

Complaint for:

1) Professional Negligence - 1

- D. Venue is further proper in this Court because:
  - The alleged violation(s)occurred in the County of Riverside.
  - City of Palm Desert has its City Hall location and conducts its operations in the County of Riverside.
  - 3. Kenneth Doran resides in the City of Palm Desert and the County of Riverside.

## **PARTIES**

- 3. Kenneth Doran is an individual who resides in the County of Riverside, State of California,
- 4. City of Palm Desert is a California Municipality with place of business located in the County of Riverside, State of California.
- 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as inclusive, and therefore sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants sued herein was the agent and employee of each of the remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the purpose and scope of such agency and employment.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7. Plaintiff alleges the following actions:

The 2012 campaign was a good year for me to run for city council as Bob Speigel was seeking reelection and he was one who used his position on the council inappropriately and illegally. I had just gone public with my information on city corruption which would have given me a great platform along with other issues on which to run.

Complaint for:

I went to the city of Palm Desert to get the materials to run for city council. I submitted the material to Rachelle Klassen, City Clerk. I, subsequently, received a call from Ms. Klassen stating that I was one signature short on the nomination form. I was surprised that so many people who signed thought they were registered to vote. I was upset and made the comment that there has to be a way to verify the signatures. Klassen did not say anything to me to specify whether a method existed. Nor did she tell me that I could come down to pick-up the nomination petition to solicit one (1) more signature.

8. This 2014 campaign was not a good year for me to run for office because the physical therapy was not working and the prospect of going to the hospital for a back operation was high. Nonetheless, I went to the city of Palm Desert to get the materials from Klassen to run for city council. She told me to be sure and put myself down as a signatory on the nomination form. I said you did not tell me that the last time, if so I could not have been one (1) signature short.

Her response: Oh well.

I was nice and did not say anything else. I was wondering why she did not tell me to come down put myself down as a signatory on the nomination form in the 2012 campaign as I submitted all the documents before 3:00 pm. More importantly, I stated again that I wish there was a way to verify the nomination signatures before submitting the documents to the City Clerk's office.

Her response: NO

I gathered enough signatures to get on the ballot; however, given my current health condition I could not launch a successful campaign.

- 9. The 2016 campaign was a very good year to run for city council as I previously took action to position myself for an effective run. So I went to the city of Palm Desert to get the materials from Klassen to run for city council. It had become routine by now so everything went fine. Again I stated that I wish there was any way to verify the nomination signatures before submitting the documents. Ms. Klassen and Ms. Mendoza did not say anything.
- 10. I did arrive on July 15th to submit the paperwork on the last day only to be informed that I had two (2) additional days. I was thinking how I wished I could have the signatures verified so I would know whether I qualified for the ballot but Ms. Klassen did not offer this service. Nonetheless, I returned on July 17th to submit the material as required. Subsequently, I received a call from Ms. Klassen indicating that I was five signatures short. After that phone call, I called the Riverside County Registrar of Voter's Office to complain about the inability to verify the signatures before submitting the documents to the City Clerk's office. I spoke with a supervisor and told her what had happened about the nomination signatures and that it had previously happened in the 2012 campaign. This is when she stated: "All that you have to do is call our office and we will go through the signatures with you before you submit the documents to the city clerk's office." I immediately became silent, then I said, "I'm sorry, did you say that I can call your office and you will help me verify the signatures over the phone." She said "yea". Then I said, do the city clerk's offices know this. She said, "I don't know." She said it in a tone and manner to make me think that this is common knowledge (a basic understanding in a city clerk's office). The call concluded by her stating that she would recheck the signatures for me, but absent that, there was nothing else they could do as they have performed their duties appropriately. I thank her for her time.

11. For the rest of the night and several days afterward, I was stunned. I did not know what to think. I have never had an argument with Ms. Klassen before and it seemed illogical that she would purposely make it difficult for me to seek office as such actions might even be criminal. Never was I told that I could submit the material early for evaluation and that I could seek additional signatures if necessary, especially since I inquired about this capability on numerous occasions over three (3) election cycles. If I had been told this information, I would have submitted the documents on July 15th so that I would have had to two (2) extra days to get (what we know now is five (5) signatures) to get the required twenty (20) signatures to qualify for the ballot. I may not be the smartest person alive, but this is simply a no-brainer as pre-verification would eliminate the uncertainty. Moreover, since I was one (1) signature short in a previous election, how could I have not taken advantage of the opportunity to remove all doubt about my ability to qualify for the ballot? This simply is not logical from a reasonable prudent person's perspective. Never was I told that I could contact Riverside County Registrar of voters directly and they would go through the signatures with me. The effort required to make a phone call from the comfort of my home to verify the signatures would be small in comparison to the effort previously given to prepare for the 2016 election (e.g., filing law suits against the city for unethical and illegal practices, communicating with the media, preparing legislative goals and activities, gathering the signatures, etc.)

12. It would have been so simple for Klassen to state the following:

If you would like to verify the signatures on your nomination form before you submit them, you can contact the Riverside County Registrar of Voters and they will go through them with you over the phone.

Or

If you submit your nomination form early, I will verify the signatures that you have so that you can go back to seek additional signature as it can be difficult to get valid signatures. This would have been so simple and clear to understand.

Unfortunately, this did not occur. I do believe that the City Clerk with a top salary of \$170,000 per year has a professional responsibility to give the public accurate information.

13. I have tried to figure out what would be Klassen's motive to prevent me from getting on the ballot. Over six (6) years ago, I gave a presentation before the city council in which I stated, "it is wrong to give jobs to people who were unqualified and there are current employees who make over a \$100,000 a year that have these jobs and do not even possess a college degree." It appears that Ms. Klassen does not hold a college degree and therefore, Ms. Klassen's motive may be her desire to protect her \$170,000 a year job at the expense of democracy.

## CAUSE OF ACTION – NEGLIGENCE

14. Plaintiff asserts that Ms. Klassen had a responsibility, as the City Clerk of the City of Palm Desert, to provide accurate information and a conduct of care to Mr. Doran in the execution of her duties. Ms. Klassen breached her responsibilities and was the actual cause of the damages suffered.

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgement against Defendants as follows:

15. Full and fair monetary damages to be proven at trial to compensate Plaintiff for the mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and such other damages as resulted from the improper conduct of Defendant;

16. Such punitive damages as are determined by the enlightened conscience of an impartial jury are warranted to deter Defendant from future conduct of the type proven at trial;

17. Plaintiffs costs of this action including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated: September 20, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

Kenneth Doran

Plaintiff in Pro Persona

		CM-010		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar Kenneth Doran 43-300 Elkhorn Tr. Apt.# G-18 Palm Desert, CA 9221!	number, and address):	REGEIWED LY CITY CLERK'S OFFICE PALM DESERT. CA		
TELEPHONE NO.: 760-408-2241 ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	FAX NO.	2017 SEP 27 PM 12: 59		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FE	OF COURT OF CALIFORNIA	<del></del>		
STREET ADDRESS: RIVERSIDE	THE COURT OF THE PROPERTY.			
1	A CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND SEC			
CITY AND ZIP CODE	PRINGS, CA 92262 /			
CASE NAME:				
Doran vs. City of Palm Desert				
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Compley Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:		
✓ Unlimited	Complex Case Designation	PSC1705265		
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder			
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE		
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)			
1. Check one box below for the case type that	ow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).		
Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)		
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
Other Pl/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)		
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)		
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)		
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the		
Other PVPD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)		
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	```````````			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment		
Defamation (13)		Enforcement of judgment (20)		
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	RICO (27)		
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition		
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)		
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
2. This case is is is not comp factors requiring exceptional judicial management	lex under rule 3.400 of the Catifornia Ruement:	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
a. Large number of separately repres		of witnesses		
b Extensive motion practice raising of		with related actions pending in one or more courts		
issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve in other counti	ies, states, or countries, or in a federal court		
c. Substantial amount of documentar	y evidence f. L Substantial po	estjudgment judicial supervision		
<ol> <li>Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[</li> <li>Number of causes of action (specify): 1</li> </ol>	monetary b. nonmonetary; d	eclaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive		
	s action suit.			
6. If there are any known related cases, file ar		22V USO form CM 015 )		
	to solve a house of related case. (100 )	lay use lotti Civi-015.)		
Beanth Doran				
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		GNAPPRE UF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)		
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V	NOTICE rst paper filed in the action or proceeding Velfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule	g (except small claims cases or cases filed es of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result		
in sanctions.  File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.  It has case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all				
other parties to the action of proceeding.				
Unless this is a collections case under rule		et will be used for statistical purposes only.		
Form Adopted for Mandatory Une Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740, Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov		

## INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1. check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### **Auto Tort**

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other Pt/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

**Business Tort/Unfair Business** 

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

**Employment** 

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

### **CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

### Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Coffection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

**Eminent Domain/Inverse** 

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

## **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

**Judicial Review** 

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.

Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment** 

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

## Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

### **Miscellaneous Civil Petition** Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civit Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition